BASSETT LAW FIRM LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

P.O. Box 3618

FAYETTEVILLE, ARKANSAS 72702-3616

W.W. "BILL" BASSETT (1925-2006) WOODY BASSETT TOD C. BASSETT WM. ROBERT STILL, JR.; WALKER DALE GARRETT! CURTIS L. NEBBEN EARL BUDDY CHADICK GARY V. WEEKS: J. DAVID WALL*1 (1967-2006) VINCENT O. CHADICKS JAMES M. GRAVES*+ SCOTT E. WRAY SHANNON L. FANT PAUL E. THOMPSON, JR.† DALE W. BROWN JENNIFER E. LLOYD

221 NORTH COLLEGE AVENUE

TELEPHONE (479) 521-9096
TELECOPIER (479) 521-9600
www.bassettlawtirm.com

"ALSO LICENSED IN OKLAHOMA

TALSO LICENSED IN MISSOURI

LINDA DOVER OFFICE MANAGER

August 3, 2007

RE:

State of Oklahoma, et al, vs. Tyson Foods, Inc., et al

U.S.D.C. – Northern District of

Oklahoma

Case No. 05-CV-0329 GKF-SAJ

Via Email

Mr. Richard T. Garren Riggs, Abney, Neal, Turpen, Orbison & Lewis Frisco Building 502 West Sixth Street Tulsa, OK 74119-1010

Dear Richard:

I have reviewed the July 2, 2007 initial ESI production that was the subject of your correspondence dated July 27, 2007. You are correct in that I claimed privilege for three communications contained in the responsive emails. Specifically, I claimed attorney – client communication privilege for communications between Mr. Monty Henderson and Gary Weeks on October 19, 2006, and also for a communication that I had with Benny McClure on July 14, 2006.

With respect to the communication between Mr. Henderson and Mr. Weeks redacted on pages GE-EM-0406, 0408, 0410, 0412, 0413, and 0414, those redactions are – in fact – redaction of the exact same communication repeated. My communication with Mr. McClure appears on page GE-EM-0422. Each referenced page also contains non-privileged information and, as such, I only redacted the portions that are attorney-client privileged. A log regarding the same is attached hereto.

I might add that I am actually under no obligation to produce or identify anything at all in the way of post-litigation privileged communications, pursuant to Local Rule 26.4. Thus, all of this is simply a matter of courtesy and is not a waiver of such rights under the referenced rule.

Mr. Richard T. Garren August 3, 2003 Page 2

As to whether or not George's is withholding other responsive ESI on a basis of privilege, the attached privilege log relates only to the electronic mail production on July 2, 2007. You should also have received another privilege log regarding the previous hard copy production back in March. You will also recall that in that production, I initially withheld a number of confidential documents containing financial information, but have since produced those to you on July 2nd with the financial information redacted.

As noted in my July 2, 2007 correspondence, I have decided to alter the manner of production for electronic mail going forward. From this point on, I will be following the "Cargill protocol" for email. This has required a revamping of procedures regarding production of emails. Nonetheless, I expect to have a second production of electronic mail to you by August 24, 2007. The August 24, 2007 production would include a production of electronic versions of the same emails provided to you on July 2nd, which went through January 31, 2007, as well as supplementation in the form of additional responsive electronic mail through May 31, 2007.

If you have any questions, please advise. Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

BASSETT LAW FIRM LLP

James M. Graves

JMG/jca